going to be progress, it has to be at the judicial level. MR. S. EICHOLTZ: Members of the 3 Commission, I appreciate your -- your thoughtfulness in this matter and the dilemma you feel yourself faced with here today. I want to go back -- I wasn't here then, but this commission originally decided to proceed with this matter. I believe it was a 4-0 vote. 9 There's been a motion to dismiss the case which 10 has not passed. 11 The current order of this court -- of this 12 commission by a 4-0 vote needs to go forward. 13 There was also a determination by this commission to allow discovery. Having handled numerous 15 administrative reviews, judicial reviews from the 16 other side of the bench over the years, I think 17 it's important that we be allowed to go forward in 18 this jurisdiction, because once we go up on 19 judicial review, we're going to be bound by the 20 evidence that we've presented here, and we've not 21 had the opportunity to present evidence. 22 I will go up to the Court of -- if you dismiss 23 this today, which is our complaint and we need to 24 go forward and develop evidence on the issues of 25

1	coordination, you won't be able to argue that issue
2	on administrative or judicial review because we
3	won't have the opportunity to present evidence to
4	you.
5	The other thing is I'm this was a difficult
6	vote, and I don't think this vote was based on
7	political lines. It was based on division of what
8	you believe the law is in this matter, and that's
9	fine. I don't expect and anticipate we're going to
10	have 2-2 votes on simple procedural matters.
11	I think this board has stated in the past, it
12	wants to have an opportunity to investigate these
13	matters, and I believe that on procedural matters,
14	we will be able to go forward and we will not have
15	deadlock votes.
16	If in the end, after we've had an opportunity
17	to do our discovery and to present our evidence to
18	you and you're still unable, then your deadlocked
19	and you decide well, we're deadlocked, we're going
20	to go ahead and make a decision granting or denying
21	the case so it can go forward, I wouldn't have a
22	problem because then I would forward it off my case
23	and my record for judicial review.
24	So I encourage you to allow this matter to go
25	forward as you've already decided by a four to

1	nothing vote to do it, to allow us to do discovery
2	and to then present evidence to you for your
3	careful consideration. Thank you.
4	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: An objection that I
5	would have is that while this may happen a lot
6	on other commissions, our obvious state of
7	confusion is that this doesn't happen very much
8	here, and I likewise don't I don't think I
9	know in my heart that my decision wasn't made
10	for political reasons because I wished it were
11	the other way so I wouldn't have to feel that
12	thought or concern and I don't believe that my
13	fellow commissioners made their comments or
14	their votes for political reasons.
15	When I was first appointed to this board, I
16	thought my, God, how can it possibly be that we'll
17	ever get anything done? I remember one 2-2 vote,
18	the infamous
19	COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Bobby Hidalgo.
20	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Bobby Hidalgo and
21	Kern decision, and I think to with that one
22	exception and this today, we've worked through
23	that, and I I applaud that, and I say that
24	for the record because I don't leave here today
25	thinking that either of you gentlemen voted

1	politically, and you know, we come from
2	different philosophies and ideologies, but I
3	think we have to do something, and this is the
4	time for the I guess maybe I have a question
5	of our counsel. I mean, it's been alluded that
6	we have a vote, and I don't I'll defer to the
7	minutes, but is our present status to go
8	forward, is that where we were? I mean, what's
9	the legal interpretation?
10	MR. D. SIMMONS: The way to characterize it
11	is Mr. Kirby, as simply no action, there has
12	been no action taken.
13	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: No, I'm talking about
14	before that, when we when we first started,
15	we started
16	MR. D. SIMMONS: We had a complaint.
17	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: We had a complaint
18	MR. D. SIMMONS: We had a complaint filed.
19	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: and as Mr. Eicholtz
20	alluded, that we voted to move forward if
21	that's the status we're in, I mean, it would
22	seem to me that we owe to any complainant the
23	ability to fully litigate to the point that they
24	have a record to take on to court, because at
25	this point in time, if I were in their shoes, I

would be thinking as an option if we stop here, their action to the court would maybe to mandate us to take action, and dispose, and in some dispositive fashion to dispose of this matter as opposed to a deadlock, and an ultimate decision may be a deadlock, but we have to go through the procedures necessary to get there. I mean, I think if we say today, as maybe the 8 FEC would do, we're hopelessly deadlock, can't do 9 anything, I think the courts -- we would be very 10 vulnerable to an action by the complainant here to 11 mandate us to discharge our duties, and I think we 12 need to bring this -- if it was not dismissed to a 13 decision on the merits, or after having heard the 14 merits, say we can't decide it, and then at that 15 point there's a record and someone wiser and 16 justifiably called his honor can... 17 MR. D. SIMMONS: I think it defers to the 18 question in my mind, and certainly, Kristie, I 19 think she should have an opportunity to weigh in 20 on that, too, is that did the commission had 21 decided to go forward early on in this case, I 22 think every time a complaint is filed in court, 23 and haven't heard from the other side, the judge 24 is going to allow the case to go forward. 25

1	can't I can't imagine the judicial system
2	acting in any other way.
3	But since that period of time, and we were
4	at that time had several presentations by the
5	Democratic Party without the benefit of Mr. Kirby,
6	a representative from the U.S. Chamber make any
7	comments. Since that time we have had a motion to
8	dismiss filed. That's what is at issue, I think,
9	before the commission today. I guess as to your
10	latter comments, you know, I think that those are
11	addressed by the fact that other boards of similar
12	makeup and similar situations have disposed of the
13	matter in a way that I indicated before.
14	I understand some commission may members
15	feel like that may be punting the issue. Another,
16	I guess, aspect of the whole problem here or maybe
17	part of the analysis here is what are we punting?
18	Are we punting factual issues or legal issues? I
19	think to a great extent what the parties are asking
20	us to decide here today are purely legal issues,
21	and a court of law is as well equipped as I think
22	the commission to decide legal issues.
23	That may not be the case, there may be factual
24	issues, and you're right, a court of law may say
25	well, wait a minute, we do I do see that there

1	are factual issues you need to look into,
2	commission you need to develop a record. But I
3	think what the argument the argument on the
4	motion to dismiss and what they're putting to us
5	today is that as a legal matter, the commission
6	should not go forward, and maybe that's a threshold
7	question that is appropriate for a court. I don't
8	know.
9	MR. S. EICHOLTZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	I'd like to bring to the attention of the
11	commission its prior order because we believe it
12	helps provides some guidance in terms of what
13	your posture was.
14	You'll recall that you had previously ordered
15	the process to go forward with the voluntary
16	exchange of information. The discovery process and
17	you, specifically, outlined an opportunity for the
18	filing of pleadings, motions and objections with
19	the commission, if necessary, in a position to, and
20	I quote, monitor the progress of this cause, may
21	schedule hearings as necessary to rule on pending
22	motions, requests for discovery orders, or any
23	other procedural matters, that's a prior order.
24	And rather than prejudging that you may be
25	deadlocked on every single procedural issue, we

1	prefer to believe that each of these motions should
2	be looked at and weighed on its merits and an
3	individual decision made before any determine is
4	made determination is made that you're
5	hopelessly deadlocked. We don't believe in it.
6	We're here on a 4-0 vote which at least
7	initialed the proceeding, plus you have 2-2 today,
8	but you did set out in your prior order a process
9	that we'd like to follow and be allowed to proceed
10	with discovery, and if necessary, if there's
11	impasse on particular discovery, bring those
12	particular motions before you for determination.
13	But to assume that we're going to be
14	hopelessly deadlocked on every procedural motion, I
15	think maybe gets gets ahead of the game. And
16	we'd like to perhaps have you follow the process
17	that you previously laid out in this order.
18	COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Mr. Chairman, you
19	alluded earlier to the fact that we should urge
20	the legislature to reinstate some of the things
21	that were in there before I agree with you on
22	that, and I think that if we abide by our
23	original 4-0 vote on the guidelines that we set
24	up and allow more facts to be brought in so that
25	once we're finished with it in whatever fashion,

1	they're the ones more in tuned with the next
2	step, then that would be more a case for the
3	other body that might be involved in this,
4	whether the court it may go to or even
5	legislators that may want to come in during our
6	commission meetings or have representatives here
7	from different committees that will be more
8	impacted by this so that's my thoughts on the
9	information that Mr. Friedman just brought to
10	bear.
11	MR. T. KIRBY: Mr. Chairman, I'll be brief.
12	The matter of the previous decision which of
13	course was made with by an ex-parte basis
14	we weren't present or involved at that time,
15	said that there was room for future motions, and
16	the commission would consider it.
17	I don't think that indicated one way or the
18	other how anybody planned to vote on either of
19	those future motions. And I think the point that I
20	would just try and indicate, and I hope I've stated
21	our position clearly before, whereas I mean I
22	mean, with all respect to this body, our position
23	is that this is not express advocacy, and
24	therefore, is not within this body's jurisdiction,
25	and in any event there has been no threshold

1	showing of a likely violation, which is the First
2	Amendment, as well as local procedural law, will be
З	necessary to impose any further (indiscernible).
4	And so I would simply like this
5	commission so that nobody's surprised, so we
6 .	don't have a future meeting and people say why did
7	Mr. Kirby bring us here, I just want to be clear
8	that if you just let it drop here, I have no doubt
9	demands will be made on on my client. With all
10	respect, I think our position is going to be for
11	the reasons previously stated. There is no basis
12	for us to turn over anything and provide any
13	information. This is simply not a matter that can
14	in this proceeding.
15	I presume at that point a motion will be made,
16	tell Mr. Kirby this is within the (indiscernible),
17	the body's jurisdiction. This is something where
18	we've got threshold showing and he does have to
19	provide us with jurisdiction. And I thought the
20	matters or the issues at that point would be
21	precisely the issues that have already been
22	argued I thought they were argued fully
23	extensively and very capably and everybody would
24	simply have come back to another meeting.
25	So I'm not trying I have no expertise in

1	this body's procedure. I'm not trying to tell you
2	how you should proceed. But I just want to make it
3	clear how from our perspective things will play out
4	if we proceed on that path.
5	MS. K. ROBERTSON: Mr. Chairman, I just
6	have a few comments to ad to what Mr. Simmons
7	alluded to. He spoke a little bit about the
8	FEC. I just want to make a historical comment
9	about the kind of way the Indiana Campaign
10	Finance Act. This was passed after the Federal
11	Campaign Finance Act was passed at the federal
12	level.
13	What we've done in fact at the last meeting
14	when you all passed an advisory opinion on the
15	salary and reimbursement, the way this body's
16	operated in the past when the Indiana code does not
17	specifically address a campaign finance issue or
18	enforcing campaign finance law, we often look to
19	the Federal Campaign Finance law, as well as the
20	FEC's actions for guidance, since our law is very
21	closely based on that. So Mr. Simmons's remarks on
22	that would is similar to what we have done in
23	the past as far as guidance from the FEC.
24	We have had other 2-2 votes on the
25	commission it was prior to Commissioner Long's

1	term, but we have done that in the past. The way
2	that has basically operated is if that action has
3	failed, there is no action. If there is a cause
4	before us, it goes forward depending on whatever is
5 .	before us at the time. Of course, we have had
6	other action in between so you probably would need
7	to make some type of motion to determine whether
8	you're going to continue. (End of Tape.)
9	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: We have come to a
10	really a no action vote on that on that
11	motion and so it seems that the objective of our
12	4 to 0 vote 4-0 vote has been met, which was
13	to give the parties an opportunity to proceed in
14	an orderly fashion before the commission.
15	Now that this motion to dismiss has has had
16	the outcome that we've come to today, I think that,
17	you know, that indicates where this board well,
18	let me back up for a second. I think that is
19	that bring us to a point in the proceedings where
20	it would be appropriate to recommend that this
21	action be taken from this administrative process,
22	and if either party wants to proceed in the court
23	system, we would be willing to certify that the
24	matter be taken there so that's that's kind of
25	where I come in.

```
MR. D. SIMMONS: Mr. Chairman, I just
        wanted to concur with what Kristi said, we
        would -- unless otherwise directed by the
3
        commission, go forward. We are at this stage
         expecting that the parties will drive that
5
        process if there's nothing that ties this up
         today.
              I just want to make clear and throw that out
8
         just so that nobody expects that staff is going to
 9
         engage in some kind of investigation or do
10
         something proactively -- I know that's -- that's
11
         been suggested, and I don't know if the commission
12
         wants to consider that or the parties want to weigh
13
         in on that but I...
14
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Well, that's a good
15
         point. I mean, you know, you may as well get
16
         that out there. I'll just say it as plainly as
17
         I can, we're not staffed to handle this; right,
18
         and so -- and you know, the commission, which
19
         is -- a staff which is facing precinct boundary
20
         changes in 92 counties so their plate is full.
21
              And so if this -- if the commission did vote
22
         to let the matter proceed based on the fact that we
23
         have taken no action on this motion, then you know,
24
         I would want to make it very clear to all of the
25
```

1	counsel that in very much the way that federal
2	district court judges expect counsel to cooperate
3	with each other in the discovery process will
4	work try their best to work out issues amongst
5	them, this commission would expect the same.
6	And because the staff, as Mr. Simmons said,
7	would not be doing investigative work, would not be
8	doing those kinds of things because I just don't
9	think that what this commission or the election
10	division is supposed to be doing. I mean, we're
11	not an investigative body but we do interpret
12	Indiana election law so I guess that's just
13	throw that out there.
14	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Mr. Chairman, I agree
15	with Commissioner Perkins for different reasons
16	but the same result. I think that if we say
17	we're not going to do anymore with this, that's
18	tantamount to saying a 2-2 vote, grant the
19	motion to dismiss. I think to say to our staff
20	go out and investigate this, it's tantamount to
21	saying we're granting the Indiana Democratic
22	Party's complaint which is that's what it asked
23	to be done so I agree with that. I think the
24	reasons are different, the level of the plate
25	does not come into to bear in my thought

1	process, but the end result is the same.
2	I don't think that that's where we are, if we
3	would ever get there. I agree with Dale's comment,
4	this is a party driven process, and it's my belief
5	that if nothing happens today, if we leave here
6	today after having had this, what I believe, to be
7	an informative and insightful and certainly very
8	educating arguments that we've had before us, that
9	the Indiana Democratic Party, based on the earlier
10	motion, would file discovery requests of the
11	Chamber.
12	Based upon Mr. Kirby's anticipated response,
13	depending I think and again, I don't believe
14	he would want to say there's nothing we would ever
15	give you. They may find a Democrat in the a
16	member of the Chamber and come under another guise
17	to get it, but not close to any imagination that
18	the counsel might use, there will be an impasse
19	between the parties that will be back before us,
20	and at that point in time, I think we would be
21	right to see are we going to face impasse after
22	impasse or are we going to go forward and be able
23	to resolve some of our issues?
24	So I mean, I think today, in all candor, we
25	don't need to do anything else, other than allow

Mr. Kirby to hopefully get back to Washington D.C. 1 before the weekend. They only have one plane going east today, and certainly, none going west so... CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Then we will consider the discussion on this over. We'll 5 move onto report by --VICE CHAIR A. LONG: It's nice meeting you, sir. You really did a superb job on your presentation. 9 MR. T. KIRBY: Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay, report by 11 12 co-directors. MR. S. VALENTINE: In your packets, you'll 13 find a letter from Chairman Steve Stiglich, Lake 14 County Democratic Central Committee, who's 15 writing us for an extension of time beyond the 16 approved October 15th date we established, or at 17 least the commission established for 18 presentation of the Lake County Districts. 19 haven't received no proposals and that time has 20 passed and they are asking for more time to 21 finish that up which is logical as well because 22 the precincts in Lake County has not been 23 24 submitted as of yet. The precinct committee, 25 obviously, should not necessarily move forward

```
with redistricting so I would ask that you
        extend that to the next meeting.
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Are they on today for
        precincts?
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: No.
5
              MR. S. VALENTINE:
                                No.
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Well, then that
         means --
              MR. S. VALENTINE: I expect that they'll...
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: -- if they get their
10
         precincts done by next meeting, we're at
11
12
         December and...
13
              MR. S. VALENTINE: I expect that the
         attention that has been expressed to me both by
14
         Chairman Stiglich and by Michelle --
15
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: Fajman of Lake County.
16
              MR. S. VALENTINE: -- Fajman of Lake County
17
         is to bring both proposals in for the November
18
         meeting.
19
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Then don't we have to
20
         have our other members?
21
              MR. S. VALENTINE: We'll have to...
22
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: We've got -- we've got
23
         two other members to their redistricting board,
24
25
         wouldn't they have to be brought in and wouldn't
```

```
that have to be noticed for a meeting?
             MR. S. VALENTINE: For a vote of that, yes.
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Yes, on redistricting,
3
        that they at least be there or at least notify
        of the opportunity to come.
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Notify?
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Yes.
7
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
8
             MR. S. VALENTINE: Beyond that...
9
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Do you need a motion on
10
11
        that?
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, I do think we do on
12
        extension of time because I do think that you
13
14
        voted on the October 15th deadline, if I recall
15
        correctly.
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Is that all right with
16
17
         you?
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah.
18
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I'll move to extend
19
         their time to the -- when is it, November 15th,
20
         isn't it? Is that when our meeting is?
21
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: Yes.
22
              MR. S. VALENTINE: Our next meeting is
23
24
         November 15th.
25
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: On the Lake County --
```

```
COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Second.
1
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: -- precincting -- I'm
2
        going to have to get it all out here first,
3
        precincting and to submit their proposal for
        their redistricting.
             COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Second.
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: 1:00 p.m.?
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: 1:00 p.m.
              COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Is
         there a ruling on that motion or...
10
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Oh, did you make that
11
12
         motion?
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I made the motion,
13
14
         yes.
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Oh, okay.
15
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Butch seconded it.
16
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Any discussion?
17
              (No response.)
18
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All those in favor,
19
         signify by saying aye?
20
              THE COMMISSION: Aye.
21
              MR. S. VALENTINE: And beyond that, I'll
22
         pass it off to Laurie. You've heard enough
23
          discussion...
24
               MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, it probably lead to
 25
```

1	this very well. Spencer says if you write the
2	memo, I'll nod vigorously, so here's the
3	memo start nodding. Given the fact I
4	think Kristi
5	MS. K. ROBERTSON: Thank you.
6	MS. L. CHRISTIE: Given the fact that we've
7	had a motion for an extension for Lake County
8	and we're trying desperately to get all of these
9	counties in before the filing deadline of
10	January 23rd. We also have the election
11	conference before us and some things like that.
12	Spencer and I spoke and I think it's probably a
13	good idea for us to plan on individual needs.
14	While we will have our November 15th meeting
15	at 1:00 o'clock, we'll have a lot of precinct
	boundary proposals before us. We'll also have some
16	
17	voting equipment, some vendor business before us,
18	so that'll that'll be a fairly full afternoon.
19	Our meeting on December 4th in conjunction
20	with our commission meeting has typically been a
21	very quick meeting just to have an opportunity to
22	introduce you to the local election officials and
23	to do some last minute form approval and we'd only
24	anticipate taking under advisement lots of precinct
25	changes at that time since that will be the start

	af aug gommiggion mosting
1	of our commission meeting.
2	So I have put forth to you some dates that I
3	would like you to look at you don't have to say
4	anything necessarily to it today, but we're looking
5	at a date in early December, and then two in
6	January, which hopefully not New Year's week and
7	also the week before the deadline so that we can
8	get those out to the counties that their precinct
9	boundaries indeed have been approved and that they
10	have an opportunity to go forward with their
11	filing.
12	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: They have to submit
13	them by December 31st but we don't have to
14	approve them by December 31st?
15	MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, actually our
16	deadline we've been saying December 31st for
17	their behalf because we need to get them working
18	so that they won't come in on January 22nd.
19	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: January 31st.
20	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Oh, okay.
21	MS. L. CHRISTIE: Our true deadline is the
22	candidate filing deadline which is nothing can
23	be changed after January 23rd.
24	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Oh, the 23rd. I told
25	you 31st.
1	

EI	ection Divi	sion Minutes Transcription
	1	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
	2	MS. L. CHRISTIE: That's when the precinct
	3	committeemen file, and we have to they have
	4	to know what their districts are at that time.
	5	The other deadline, it is December 31st
	6	certainly, it's the county commissioner and
	7	county council districting that the county
	8 .	executive performs and they have to have that
	9	done by the end of the year so that they know
	10	which districts they're going to have.
	11	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: The 12th and 13th are
	12	impossible for me. The trial that I took off
	13	that was set for today went to them
	14	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: In December?
	15	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: and I just can't
	16	look at judge in the eye again and say the
	17	Indiana Election Commission
	18	COMMISSION B. MORGAN: I might point out
	19	that on December the 12th, the Legislative
	20	Insight Bingham Summers' Conference is that
	21	date.
	22	MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, you don't have to
	23	make a decision today, but we'd like to go ahead
	24	and we can talk of our difficulties this
	25	morning. Getting a room is extremely difficult.
	l .	

```
VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, I can go
        over --
             MR. S. VALENTINE: Especially, all the
        sessions we've got.
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Yeah.
5
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: -- the next week -- I
        mean, and I like the idea of doing it. We're
        all on the 20th, aren't we? Has that meeting
8
9
        qone away?
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, that's been our --
10
        that's been a traditional date and we can try to
11
        go ahead and incorporate that, if you'd like.
12
        We've not scheduled -- we've not prepared an
13
        order for the 2002 meeting date at this time.
14
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I'm talking about the
15
         December 20th?
16
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Oh, the December 20th?
17
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I have December 20th
18
         on my calendar as...
19
              COMMISSION B. MORGAN: I don't have that.
20
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: I do, too.
21
              COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Commission meeting?
22
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Yeah, I guess you
23
         probably were late when we set that.
24
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah. So I think we
25
```

should try to keep that, if we can -- at least three of us have that on our calendars. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Yeah. 3 MR. D. SIMMONS: At least three. MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, then we delete one of the meetings in January then. CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, wouldn't -can't we use that instead of the 12 or 13th of 9 10 December? CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yes. 11 MS. L. CHRISTIE: Yeah. 12 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: And the meetings, if 13 you set them quickly and let us know, I can work 14 around both of your dates, all four of your 15 proposed dates in January. I mean, I have 16 things set but they're not anything, 17 that they're not movable. 18 MS. L. CHRISTIE: All right. Why don't we 19 plan on doing that, and if you could just give 20 Spencer and I the preferences on the January 21 22 dates. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, let's do 23 it -- why don't we do it now and let's get it 24 locked in? 25

```
MS. L. CHRISTIE: That'll be great. Well,
        the 12th is no good, the 12th and 13th and that
        leaves us...
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Well, but Thursdays
        work best for me, the 10th and the 17th work for
        me. How's the 10th and 17th work?
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Thursday, I thought
        was bad -- oh, the 16th was bad for you?
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Right, the 16th is bad
10
        for...
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: So the 16th's out and
11
        the -- I can -- I think I can work the 10th or
12
        the 9th so...
13
             COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: I can
14
        do either one. That's...
15
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: January 10th.
16
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Is the 10th best for
17
18
        you?
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: 10th is best for me.
19
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Okay. And what about the
20
         following date, that next week, somebody said
21
22
        the 17th?
23
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Uh-huh.
              COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Yeah,
24
       the 16th, I cannot at all.
```

```
CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Joe can't do the 16th
        so -- is the 17th okay?
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You can the 17th?
             COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Yes.
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: The 17th look okay?
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yes.
            MS. L. CHRISTIE: Why don't we plan then on
        December 20th, January 10th and 17th,
9
        (indiscernible) and plan on those dates unless
10
        we hear differently.
11
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Let's get that room
12
        that's got that post in the middle.
13
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Does 1:00 o'clock still
14
        work?
15
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah.
16
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: 1/10 and 1/17; right?
17
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yes.
18
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: All at 1:00 p.m.?
19
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah.
20
              COMMISSION B. MORGAN: I'm not sure -- I
21
         mean, what's going to be our work load?
22
              MS. K. ROBERTSON: Precincts.
23
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: It all be precincts.
24
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, is that -- do
25
```

we need to come earlier? MR. S. VALENTINE: I think 1:00 o'clock would be good. 3 MS. L. CHRISTIE: Yeah. MR. S. VALENTINE: We've expedited, I 5 think, fairly... MS. L. CHRISTIE: We've worked out a system now so we're good. VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, are we going to be -- are we going to be knocking out 23 10 counties that day? I mean, what we got -- is 11 there seven done now, does that mean 85 to go? 12 MS. L. CHRISTIE: On the November meeting. 13 I'll have quite a few. 14 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah. 1.5 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: How many do we have 16 17 today? MS. L. CHRISTIE: Half of that, seven. 18 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Seven so that's 14. 19 MS. L. CHRISTIE: But we move a lot faster 20 so we'll be... 21 MR. S. VALENTINE: Trust me. 22 MS. L. CHRISTIE: November will be big. 23 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: 1:00 o'clock. 24 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Okay. 25

```
MS. L. CHRISTIE: If we would see a need to
1
        change, we'll...
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
3
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Thank you.
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay, forms approval.
5
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: In your packets, I
        actually have two orders, (indiscernible), but I
        think there are actually about one. Order No.
        2001-81, we have two -- our candidate forms
        ready. The CAN-2 form which is the Declaration
10
        of candidacy the Democrats and Republicans have
11
         filed for the primary nomination.
12
              That form -- basically what -- it looks a lot
13
         different because what we are doing now is we're
14
         actually able at the staff level to design and
15
         develop our own forms so we don't have to rely on
16
         forms management, and they still review them to
17
         make sure that we meet the statutory requirements
18
         for forms, but we've substantially made it a little
19
         more intuitive, a little bit clearer for candidates
20
         to understand.
21
              Also, we have added -- made it more explicit
22
         in the candidate name information to accommodate
23
          the candidate name designation which was a change a
 24
          couple of, or three sessions ago, I guess it was,
 25
```

1	to make that more explicit for the candidates, and
2	also this will include all the other requirements.
3.	As you may recall, the general assembly
4	requested that they have two separate
5	certifications so that's basically general
6	information, and (indiscernible) campaign finance,
7	they're also required to put the campaign finance
8	information on filing dates and so forth and it has
9	to be notarized. So it is a two-sided form and I
10	think we got it all on there.
11	The other form actually, I've combined two
12	forms. It used to be the CAN-37 and the CAN-38,
13	Declaration of Candidacy for Precinct Committeeman
14	and State Convention Delegate. So the precinct
15	committeeman are also of this year as well, or in
16	2002, a combined report, made it the changes on
17	the CAN-2 form and got it on one side.
18	The reason I bring this to you now is because
19	I have had special requests from some groups that
20	would like to include it in a publication and so
21	I'd like to get that approved, get you all ready to
22	do that.
23	I had hoped that we would have an opportunity
24	to approve Order 2001-82 which is the campaign
25	finance statement of organization, CFA-1, 2 and 3

Connor+Associates

_			
	1	for candidates, PACs, and regular parties. The	
	2	only again, the designs a little bit different,	
	3	but really the only substantive changes made to	
	4	this is to add is section for e-mail address and	
	5	also instructions.	
	6	Basically, beginning with the annual report in	
	7	January, the Election Division will be able to	
	8	accept electronic filings, so if someone does it by	
	9	meeting our specifications, or we will have a disk	
	10	that we can give to committees, and they will be	
	11	able to file to e-mail it to us. So in order	
	12	for us to do that, we need their e-mail address, if	
	13	they have one, and they would like to file it	
ļ	14	electronically.	
	15	So that's really the only substantive change.	
	16	These were just finished yesterday so staff is	
	17	still give staff an opportunity to review and	
	18	make sure we don't have any other changes so I	
	19	would ask that we look at this and hold it and we	
	20	can if you don't have any other problems or	
	21	questions, we can approve it in November.	
	22	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. I oh.	
	23	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Go ahead.	
	24	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: I've got a question on	
	25	the declaration of candidacy. I know the	
	1		_

```
statute's been changed. If a person called for
        a different primary ballot in the election
        before, they can run for the opposite party
        without the chairman's approval?
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Uh-huh.
5
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Does the chairman just
        give a letter to them to take to the clerk's
7
Я
         office or what?
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: They can. Basically, the
9
         statute says that the chairman certification --
10
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
11
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: -- so a letter would
1.2
         suffice.
13
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Because I wondered if
14
         it would be on the form for the chairman...
15
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: It's not on the form.
16
         It's not -- it's not in the section that -- I
17
         don't -- I think it's in the declaration of
18
         candidacy section so it's not something that's
19
         required on the form. We currently don't have a
20
         form for that. If it's the Election
21
         Commission's decision, we could create a form.
22
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Well, I wondered --
23
         with 92 different clerks, they're going to have
24
         92 different --
25
```

MS. L. CHRISTIE: Right. 1 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: -- requirements, and I think maybe we ought to look at that and maybe 3 creating a form for the... MS. L. CHRISTIE: We can do that. 5 MR. D. SIMMONS: It is a good point and we 6 haven't discussed that. We -- we just run out 7 of room --CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah. 9 MR. D. SIMMONS: -- and -- but I think 10 maybe we can come up with something that... 11 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Shouldn't we put 12 something in there if that -- we're not telling 13 that on the front page of that, request my name 14 is placed on the ballot, etc., etc. I am 15 affiliated with the Democratic Party. Should we 16 not have a parenthetical that's there, in the 17 last primary, if I voted, and the other party 18 county chairman's certification must be affixed 19 or attached or ... 20 MS. L. CHRISTIE: Well, if you look under 21 CAN -- yeah, I think that's a good suggestion. 22 If you look under CAN-37 in the previous law, 23 existing law, if you look at No. 3, that is 24 already required. 25

```
CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yes, it is on that one.
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: We could do something
        similar to that.
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Yeah, I think that...
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: It looks like -- I
5
        believe we could do that because --
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: -- that would alert the
8
         candidates and then we could do a certain -- a
9
         separate certification for them, but yeah, I can
10
         add that.
11
              MR. D. SIMMONS: Let me -- let me see if
12
         I've got this right. The statute itself has
13
         sort of reoffered this; is that right?
14
             MS. L. CHRISTIE: Right.
15
             MR. D. SIMMONS: And either you voted in
16
         the last primary or your county chairman
17
         certified you or you have never voted in a
18
19
         primary?
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Right.
20
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: Uh-huh, right, and on the
21
22
         candidate --
              MR. D. SIMMONS: In which case, it's what
23
         you say is your party, is your party?
24
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: Right. But on the CAN-37
25
```

all we have to do is add the county chair certification. We already have voted in the most recent primary unless they haven't voted in 3 any previous primary and I can -- certification, I think I can probably do that in a way 5 that -- it looks like I've got a little bit of room here. 7 So I think -- yeah, I think that would probably be a good idea. This is a new change and 9 a lot of people will not be aware of that. So why 10 don't I take another stab at it and bring it back 11 to another meeting. 12 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. 13 MS. L. CHRISTIE: If your -- the CAN-37, if 14 you don't have any other changes on that, that's 15 the one I really would like to at least have 16 your -- we can prepare an order for the next 17 time, but that would -- I would like to be able 18 to get that out to the people... 19 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: I don't have any 20 problem with the CAN-37. 21 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: No, I think -- you 22 want a motion to approve? I move we approve the 23 tendered CAN-37. 24 COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: 25

```
CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Do you want -- if I can
         interject, do you want that order 2001-81 just
        approved with the CAN-2 stricken out?
                                     I just need the -- I
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: No.
        just need your vote --
5
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: -- and that'll be enough
 7
         and then I can prepare the order if we
 9
         actually...
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Any discussion?
10
              (No response.)
11
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All those if favor,
12
         signify by saying aye?
13
              THE COMMISSION: Aye.
14
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
15
              MS. L. CHRISTIE: That's all on this and I
16
         expect to have a number of forms for you in
17
18
         November.
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Thank you.
19
         Litigation update -- since we've got people in
20
21
         here for the precincts, --
22
              MR. D. SIMMONS: Yeah, why don't we go...
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: -- let's go to the
23
         precinct boundary and get those done and then we
24
         can do the boring stuff afterwards.
25
```

1	MS. L. CHRISTIE: You made one person
2	happy.
3	COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: They
4	weren't bored during that stuff.
5	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: No, the litigation
6	update.
7	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I can't vote on that
8	thing if she don't project up on the wall.
9	Aren't you going to project them up on the wall?
10	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Not this time.
11	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Oh, darn.
12	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Sorry.
13	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You did a great job
14	with those last time. You educate we can
15	just envision them now.
16	MS. M. BRZYCKI: I can bring it straight to
17	you, though.
18	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You can just describe
19	each precinct for us. God, these are all done
20	on my birthday.
21	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Chairman and Members of
22	the Commission, today I have six new counties
23	for you and one county that we're revisiting.
24	I'm going to start with Delaware county, since
25	they've come here today. I have all the

1	counties that I'm presenting today on the
2	computer so if there's anything that you'd like
3	to see, please just ask. Delaware County has 75
4	proposed precinct orders. That will give them
5	735 voting precincts and 0 non-voting precincts.
6	The Office of Census Data has reviewed this.
7	They have worked really closely with the county
8	and with us and I really appreciate their work.
9	The Democrat and Republican chairman have been
10	notified and the map is being submitted as a
11	file on the GIS system.
12	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Is there a Libertarian
13	Party in the county?
14	MS. M. BRZYCKI: I'm sorry?
15	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Is there a Libertarian
16	Party in Delaware County?
17	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Yes, there is. We didn't
18	get the list of the Libertarian counties so what
19	I did was I called Brad and I told him all of
20	the counties that would go in front of the
21	commission today and he contacted the chairs.
22	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Were there any
23	objections?
24	MR. B. KLOPPENSTEIN: No.
25	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.

MS. M. BRZYCKI: From now on, I'll make 1 sure that I have the list of where they're 2 organized and I will make sure that they're okay. CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. 5 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Just show them here and we want to -- you know, we appreciate your input. CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: How many precincts do they have now? 10 MS. M. BRZYCKI: Currently, they have a lot 11 12 more. CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: A 175. 13 14 MS. M. BRZYCKI: She did a really great job of combining the ones that they could. 15 CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. 16 MS. M. BRZYCKI: I think, if I'm not 17 mistaken, only one original precinct, that's how 18 it was? 19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Not very many. 20 MS. M. BRZYCKI: Almost every single one 21 has changed. 22 VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Are you all 23 24 recommending approval? 25 MS. M. BRZYCKI: Yes, both the co-directors

1	have recommended approval.	
2	MS. L. HERSHBERGER: Just a quick side,	
3	your you may have already noticed, we do have	
4	the form that the co-directors have filled out	
5	after reviewing the paperwork, and we'll also	
6	have order we do have orders that for your	
7	signature from the last meeting and then any	
8	that you approve today.	
9	MS. M. BRZYCKI: I'll second that.	
10	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I move we approve	
11	Delaware County.	
12	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Do we want to vote on	
13	each one individually or do you want to go	
14	through every one of them and vote on	
15	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Mr. Chairman, it probably	
16	wouldn't hurt to vote on them individually at	
17	this time.	
18	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.	
19	MS. M. BRZYCKI: However, in the future, we	
20	may	
21	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: When we have a lot.	
22	MS. M. BRZYCKI: concur with that.	
23	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Sorry, go ahead	
24	with your motion.	
25	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I move we approve the	
I		

1	precinct boundary changes for Delaware County.
2	COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Second.
3	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Any discussion?
4	(No response.)
5	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All those in favor,
6	signify by saying aye?
7	THE COMMISSION: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Next one.
9	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Thank you. Good job.
10	You convinced us.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It only took two
12	trips.
13	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Next up is Daviess County.
14	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That's one of mine.
15	That's Owensboro.
16	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
17	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I mean, with all these
18	others no one else is here.
19	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: No. I think let's
20	just
21	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I say we can do them
22	all at once.
23	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: We've got the forms and
24	they've all been approved. I'd say I'd say
25	let's just do them all.

```
COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Yeah,
1
        that's a good...
2
             MS. M. BRZYCKI: That'd be fine.
3
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: That's what we've done
        before.
5
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Unless Michelle, you
         feel a need to speak. If you do, we'll
7
         certainly afford you the floor.
              MS. M. BRZYCKI: You have all the stuff
         that I want to say right now in front of you.
10
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Let's just --
11
         let's just go through...
12
              MS. M. BRZYCKI: If you want to
13
         review it, I have all the paperwork and I could
14
         put anything on the computer that you need to
15
16
         see.
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
17
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Do you have any
18
         comments from the Libertarian, we notified you
19
         all?
20
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yep.
21
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Any objections?
22
              UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
23
               CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Caroll County.
24
               VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I move we approve the
25
```

1	precinct boundary changes for Carroll County,
2	Daviess County, Hendricks County, Martin County,
3	Pulaski County, Putnam County and Vanderburgh
4	County.
5	MS. M. BRZYCKI: If I can talk about
6	Vanderburgh for one second.
7	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
8	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Oh, oh. Let me
9	except exercise Vanderburgh from my motion.
10	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Okay.
11	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
12	COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Second.
13	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Any discussion?
14	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Exercise or excise.
15	(No response.)
16	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All those in favor,
17	signify by saying aye?
18	THE COMMISSION: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Vanderburgh
20	County.
21	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Now, Vanderburgh.
22	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Okay. Vanderburgh, you
23	approved at last month's meeting. There is a
24	slight change that occurred, as we took what we
25	approved on paper, and the Office of Census Data
1	

1	within the county put it onto the computer GIS
2	system. What you approved on paper was correct,
3	all the changes that you recommended, or I'm
4	sorry, that I recommended today are just
5	technical corrections of moving little slivers
6	from one precinct to another, making sure
7	everything is good on the computer just like it
8	was on the paper.
9	So what you approved last time was correct.
10	This time, it's being a little more precise, a
11	little more detailed, and you're actually going to
12	be approving what's on the computer.
13	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: What's our motion, to
14	approve the technical corrections or to approve
15	the resubmission or
16	MR. D. SIMMONS: Chairman and Members of
17	the Commission, the way we phrased the order is
18	that the approval as specified at the last
19	meeting, the paper map maps that were
20	submitted but it would include approval of the
21	more accurate maps submitted on GIS at this
22	meeting so I suppose a motion to the extent that
23	approval is of the more accurate maps submitted
24	electronically at this meeting and amends the
25	prior order.

1	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. I missed the	
2	last meeting. Can you clarify, what's this	
3	Non-Voting Precincts Propose 3?	
4	MS. M. BRZYCKI: A non-voting precinct is a	
5	precinct in which there needs to be is an	
6	area of land where there needs to be a separate	
7	precinct because it either breaches a house	
8	congressional senate line, a township boundary,	
9	a corporate boundary, one of those boundaries	
10	that you can't cross.	
11	Well, it crosses one of those and it contains	
12	population but nobody's registered to vote. So	
13	it's a non-voting precinct because it's a piece of	
14	land that needs its own precinct because it would	
15	have a different ballot if someone did register to	
16	vote there, but since no one's registered to vote,	
17	there's no need for a polling place, there's no	
18	need for a ballot, there's no need for poll records	
19	so it's non-voting.	
20	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: But if we have one	
21	person registered to vote, then we're going to	
22	have a voting place there	
23	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Yes.	
24	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: for that one person?	
25	MS. M. BRZYCKI: That one person. They	
1		

```
could combine polling places with a -- you know,
        represents.
             CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: We could.
             MS. M. BRZYCKI: And now there -- that's
        also -- there -- it doesn't necessarily have
        three people there, you can defer a piece of
         land that no one lives there, but you expect
8
        people, like a subdivision --
9
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: A subdivision, okay.
10
              MS. M. BRZYCKI: -- that they map out.
11
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: We've got precincts
12
         now in some that we've got a piece over here and
13
         then we've got another piece here and a piece
14
         down there and they're all in one precinct.
15
         That's one of the modern 21st Century
16
         precincting.
17
              COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Okay.
18
         Mr. Chairman, if I could -- if I could ask a
19
         question of maybe counsel: Does it take the
20
         commission's action for that non-voting precinct
21
         to become a voting precinct or is that something
22
         that the county would do on their own?
23
              MS. M. BRZYCKI: Yeah.
24
               CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. A motion on
25
```

	•
1	Vanderburgh County then?
2	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: I move to approve.
3	COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Second.
4	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Any discussion?
5	(No response.)
6.	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All those in favor,
7	signify by saying aye?
8	THE COMMISSION: Aye.
9	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All right. Thanks,
10	Michelle.
11	COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Good
12	work.
13	MS. M. BRZYCKI: I think we need a vote on
14	this, Mr. Chairman, is it's we had prepared
15	orders Order No. 2001-83. These were the
16	counties that you all approved at the last
17	meeting; Order 2001-84 are the ones that you
18	just approved today; and Order 2001-86 is
19	Vanderburgh. We just made you aware of the
20	situation in the order. That's it.
21	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: What happened to 85
22	here, it's in my book?
23	MS. M. BRZYCKI: 85 I'm sorry, 85 is
24	Spencer County, and we still have some work to
25	do on that. That will be after November.

```
CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA:
                                 Okay.
1
             UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And just -- just as
2
        an FYI, the forms that you see that you've been
        approving based on there that have an organized
        signature on, we did it verbally last time,
5
        you'll remember. We have gone ahead and
        executed some of those just in the file.
             VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Well, I remembered the
        last time. It was like pulling teeth to get
        them to -- to admit that they...
10
             MS. L. HERSHBERGER: The problem we hadn't
11
        had a chance to review them but this time we
12
         have so we've worked it out. We've worked it
13
         out real well so I think we'll -- in the future,
14
         these will go much quicker and we hope this form
15
         helps you, like Michelle said, (indiscernible)
16
         is somewhat skeptical.
17
              COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: See,
18
         those meetings aren't so bad.
19
              MS. L. HERSHBERGER: I just want to let you
20
         know that in the tab line on my side of the
21
         counties, I have some big ones coming -- St.
22
         Joe, Porter and Elkhart are all just about
23
24
         finished.
25
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: And Lake?
```

1	MS. L. HERSHBERGER: And Lake. Lake has
2	pretty much did it themselves with our
3	assistance, but those three used our equipment
4	and everything and are right about on the verge
5	of being done. So at the November meeting,
6	we'll probably have some big counties that we'll
7	probably get a lot accomplished.
8	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
9	COMMISSION B. MORGAN: Maureen and Mark, do
10	you guys have anything to add?
11	(No response.)
12	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: You all did a
13	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Good work.
14	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: good job last time.
15	That was that was really informative. I'm
16	sorry Dudley missed it.
17	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay. Any other
18	business?
19	MS. M. BRZYCKI: Just to approve Orders
20	2001-83, 84 and 86.
21	VICE CHAIR A. LONG: So moved.
22	COMMISSION MEMBER J. PERKINS, JR.: Second.
23	CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: All those if favor,
24	signify by saying aye?
25	THE COMMISSION: Aye.

```
VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Now there's -- oh,
1
        litigation.
2
             MR. D. SIMMONS: You think you can skip
3
        over it entirely.
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Why did you have to
5
        mention that? Okay, litigation.
              MR. D. SIMMONS: I just want to report
        nothing much going on here. There's nothing
         more going on (indiscernible) challenges;
9
         mainly, the two cases that challenge the
10
         campaign finance law filed by Libertarian
11
         candidates that they are not -- though they
12
         haven't reached the $100 threshold, there's
13
         nothing going on in that except that the
14
         Williamson case -- there are two, the Majors and
15
         Williamson case.
16
              The Williamson case, our counsel filed a
17
         motion to dismiss the appeal part of it because the
18
         administrative record hadn't been filed -- that was
19
         heard yesterday, taken under advisement.
20
              The only thing that happened on the Hawkins'
21
         case which is the challenge to the statute that
22
         challenges how we order the candidates of the same
23
         party on a primary ballot now, that it order --
24
         they want to impose a lottery system. Due to the
25
```

```
retirement of Judge S. Hugh Dillon from the U.S.
        District, Southern District Court, that has been
        transferred to Judge McKinney.
              There has been also nothing new on Toth versus
        Gilroy, the case that seeks to have the use of
5
        punch card systems in Indiana prohibited -- there's
        nothing new on that, and that's all there is to
        report.
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That wasn't boring.
 9
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Okay.
10
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: That was not as boring
11
        at all.
12
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Boring is probably a
13
         poor choice of words but -- okay, any other
14
         business?
15
              (No response.)
16
              CHAIRMAN D. CRUEA: Move to adjourn.
17
              VICE CHAIR A. LONG: Move to adjourn.
18
              (At this time the proceedings were adjourned.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```